Application for	Federal Assis	tance SF-424	Version 02
* 1. Type of Submiss	ion:	* 2. Type of Application:	* If Revision, select appropriate letter(s):
☐ Preapplication		✓ New	
✓ Application		Continuation	* Other (Specify)
☐ Changed/Correcte	ed Application	Revision [
* 3. Date Received:		4. Applicant Identifier:	
Completed by Grants.gov	upon submission.		
5a. Federal Entity Ide	entifier:	-	* 5b. Federal Award Identifier:
State Use Only:			
6. Date Received by	State:	7. State Application	Identifier:
8. APPLICANT INFO	RMATION:		
* a. Legal Name: Si	tate of Alaska		
* b. Employer/Taxpay	er Identification N	umber (EIN/TIN):	* c. Organizational DUNS:
92-6001185			80-938-6857
d. Address:			
* Street1:	410 Willoughb	y Ave., Suite 303	
Street2:	PO Box 11180	00	
* City:	Juneau		
County:			
* State:	AK		
Province:			
* Country:	USA		
* Zip / Postal Code:	99811-1800		
e. Organizational U	nit:		
Department Name:		***************************************	Division Name:
Environmental C	onservation		Water
f. Name and contac	t information of	person to be contacted on n	natters involving this application:
Prefix:		* First Name	e: Michelle
Middle Name:			
* Last Name: Hale			
Suffix:			
Title: Director			
Organizational Affiliat	ion;		
* Telephone Number:	(907) 465-513	35	Fax Number: (907) 465-5177
* Email: michelle.	hale@alaska.go	OV .	

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424	Version 02
9. Type of Applicant 1: Select Applicant Type:	
A	
Type of Applicant 2: Select Applicant Type:	
Type of Applicant 3: Select Applicant Type:	and the same of th
* Other (specify):	
Other (specify).	
* 10. Name of Federal Agency: Environmental Protection Agency	
11. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number: 66.605	
CFDA Title:	
Performance Partnership Grants	
* 12. Funding Opportunity Number:	
* Title:	
13. Competition Identification Number:	
Title:	
14. Areas Affected by Project (Cities, Counties, States, etc.):	
Statewide	
	[
* 15. Descriptive Title of Applicant's Project:	
	1
FY15 Performance Partnership Grant	
Attach supporting documents as specified in agency instructions.	
Add Attachments Delete Attachments View Attachments	

Application	for Federal A	Assistance SF-424			Version 02
16. Congressi	onal Districts Of:				
* a. Applicant	AK-001	4// 22/1		* b. Program/Project AK-001	
Attach an addit	ional list of Progra	m/Project Congressional Dis	ricts if needed.		
		Add Attachment	Delete Atta	chment View Attachment	
17. Proposed	Project:				
* a. Start Date:	7/1/2014		_	* b. End Date: 06/30/2015	
18. Estimated	Funding (\$):		·-		
* a. Federal		\$3,301,000.0	00		
* b. Applicant					
* c. State		\$1,156,980.	00		
* d. Local					
* e. Other		\$170,000.	00		
* f. Program in	come				
* g. TOTAL		\$4,627,980.0	00		
b. Program i c. Program i * 20. Is the App Yes 21. *By signing herein are true comply with a may subject m * 1 AGREE	s subject to E.O. 1 s not covered by E plicant Delinquen No g this application, e, complete and a ny resulting term the to criminal, civ	2372 but has not been select E.O. 12372. Int On Any Federal Debt? (If Explanation I certify (1) to the statement accurate to the best of my s if I accept an award. I am vil, or administrative penalty	"Yes", providents contained knowledge. I aware that an ides. (U.S. Cook	e explanation.) in the list of certifications** and (2) that the statements also provide the required assurances** and agree to by false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims	
	Mr.	* First	Name: Law	rence	
Middle Name:			Law	CITO	
* Last Name:	Hartig				
Suffix:					
* Title: Comm	nissioner				
* Telephone Nur	mber: (907) 465-	-5066		Fax Number: (907) 465-5070	
* Email: larry	/.hartig@alaska	a.gov			
* Signature of Au	uthorized Represen	ntative:		* Date Signed: 4/30/14	
Authorized for L	ocal Reproduction	6	0	1/39.7	

Standard Form 424 (Revised 10/2005) Prescribed by OMB Circular A-102

	Application for Federal Assistance SF-424	Version 02
	* Applicant Federal Debt Delinquency Explanation	
	The following field should contain an explanation if the Applicant organization is delinquent on any Federal Debt. Maximum number of characters that can be entered is 4,000. Try and avoid extra spaces and carriage returns to maximize the availability of space.	
	on the district of the distric]
		l
ı		

				A4h - u		
0.00	₩	\$	\$	€9		7. Program Income
4,627,980.00	\$ 00.00	\$ 170,000.00	\$ 1,156,980.00	\$ 3,301,000.00		k. TOTALS (sum of 6i and 6j)
698,670.00			188,941.00	509,729.00		j. Indirect Charges
3,929,310.00	0.00	170,000.00	968,039.00	2,791,271.00	i. Total Direct Charges (sum of 6a-6h)	i. Total Direct C
429,658.00		170,000.00		259,658.00		h. Other
0.00						g. Construction
378,870.00			117,663.00	261,207.00		f. Contractual
38,604.00			13,634.00	24,970.00		e. Supplies
0.00						d. Equipment
55,009.00			18,109.00	36,900.00		c. Travel
1,108,810.95			299,854.17	808,956.78	its	b. Fringe Benefits
1	\$	\$	\$ 518,778.83	\$ 1,399,579.22		a. Personnel
(5)	(4)		(2)	(1)		o. Object Class Carego
Total		NCTION OR ACTIVITY	GRANT PROGRAM, FUNCTION OR ACTIVITY		ories	6 Object Class Categories
		ORIES	N B - BUDGET CATEGORIES	SECTION B -		
4,627,980.00	\$ 1,326,980.00	3,301,000.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00		5. Totals
0.00						4.
0.00						3.
0.00						2.
4	\$ 1,326,980.00 \$	1,000.00	€ 9	49	66.605	1.PPG
Total (g)	Non-Federal (f)	Federal (e)	Non-Federal (d)	Federal (c)	Number (b)	or Activity (a)
	New or Revised Budget		Estimated Unobligated Funds	Estimated Unc	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance	Grant Program Function
		MARY	SECTION A - BUDGET SUMMARY	SECT		

Previous Edition Usable

Standard Form 424A (Rev. 7-97)
Prescribed by OMB Circular A-102

Authorized for Local Reproduction

					23. Remarks:
		Charges: (23.08%)	22. Indirect Charges: \$698,670.00 (23.08%)		21. Direct Charges: \$3,929,310
		DRMATION	SECTION F - OTHER BUDGET INFORMATION	SECTION F -	
0.00	0.00 \$	\$ 0.00 \$	0.00	49	20. TOTAL (sum of lines 16-19)
					19.
					18.
					17.
	€	₩	₩		16.
(e) Fourth	(d) Third	(c) Second	(b) First		
	PERIODS (Years)	FUTURE FUNDING PERIODS (Years)			(a) Grant Program
	F THE PROJECT	DED FOR BALANCE O	EDERAL FUNDS NEED	BUDGET ESTIMATES OF FEDERAL FUNDS NEEDED FOR BALANCE OF THE PROJECT	SECTION E - BUT
1,156,995.00	1,156,995.00 \$	\$ 1,156,995.00 \$	1,156,995.00	\$ 4,627,980.00 \$	15. TOTAL (sum of lines 13 and 14)
331,745.00	331,745.00	331,745.00	331,745.00	1,326,980.00	14. Non-Federal
825,250.00	825,250.00 \$	\$ 825,250.00 \$	\$ 825,250.00	\$ 3,301,000.00	S. Federal
4th Quarter	3rd Quarter	2nd Quarter	1st Quarter	Total for 1st Year	
		H NEEDS	SECTION D - FORECASTED CASH NEEDS	SECTION	
1,326,980.00	\$ 170,000.00	\$ 1,156,980.00 \$	\$ 0.00		12. TOTAL (sum of lines 8-11)
0.00					11.
0.00					10.
0.00					9.
1,326,980.00	\$ 170,000.00 \$	\$ 1,156,980.00 \$	4		8
(e) TOTALS	(d) Other Sources	(c) State	(b) Applicant		(a) Grant Program
		SOURCES	SECTION C - NON-FEDERAL RESOURCES	SECTION (

STATE OF ALASKA DIVISION OF WATER

State Fiscal Year 2015

Performance Partnership Consolidated Grant 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2015

	106-Water Pollu	tion Control Progra	ım	
	Federal	DEC Match	3rd Party Match	Total
Personal Services	1,062,352	60,272	1	1,122,624
Travel	15,535	4,109		19,644
Supplies	8,647	2,884		11,531
Contractual	119,276	23,063		142,339
Total Direct	1,205,810	90,328	-	1,296,138
Subtotal	1,205,810	90,328	-	1,296,138
DIAS indirect 23.08% (A)	245,191	13,911	-	259,102
Total	1,451,000	104,239	-	1,555,239

	319 Nonpoint S	Source (NPS) Contr	ol			
	Federal	State Match	3rd Party Match	Total	Fed %	State % & 3rd Party
Personal Services	1,146,184	758,361		1,904,545	60%	40%
Travel	21,365	14,000		35,365	60%	40%
Supplies	16,323	10,750		27,073	60%	40%
Contractual	141,931	94,600		236,531	60%	40%
Other	259,658	-	170,000	429,658	60%	40%
Total Direct	1,585,461	877,711	170,000	2,633,172	60%	40%
Subtotal	1,585,461	877,711	170,000	2,633,172	60%	40%
DIAS indirect 23.08% (A)	264,539	175,030		439,569	60%	40%
Total	1,850,000	1,052,741	170,000	3,072,741	60%	40%

	Performance Par	tnership Grant Bu	dget	
			3rd Party	
	Federal	State Match	Match	Total
Personal Services	2,208,536	818,633	-	3,027,169
Travel	36,900	18,109	-	55,009
Supplies	24,970	13,634	-	38,604
Contractual	261,207	117,663	-	378,870
Other	259,658	•	170,000	429,658
Total Direct	2,791,271	968,039	170,000	3,929,310
Subtotal	2,791,271	968,039	170,000	3,929,310
DIAS indirect 23.08% (A)	509,729	188,941	-	698,670
Total	3,301,000	1,156,980	170,000	4,627,980

Total Federal Request	3,301,000			
Budget Percentages	71.33%	25.00%	3.67%	100%

Estimated SFY2015 Personal Services Cost

Price Projected Price Price Projected Price Price Projected Price Price Price Price	18,319.04	19% \$	-	0%	18,319.04	19% \$		0%	4	0.70	9	0/0	90,410.00	First of a logical Open
Prise rojected Not County Wales County	18,585.61	$\overline{}$	5	Т		_	-	_	9 4	80%			ı	Environ Program Spec III
Prints Projected Wales County C	22,227.04		\$				49	1	1	0%		_		Environ Program opec tv
Principle place Principle place Principle Prin	19,479.84		5			_	59	_	_	0%		_		Environ Program Spec IV
File Projected Not Camby Main Water	20,627.16	\neg	-				\$	-	-	0%		_	L	
Prise projected Prise Prise projected Prise Prise projected Prise Prise projected Prise Prise pr	20,607.40	_		\neg			\$			0%	-	_	L	1
PT16 Projected Comply Service Comply Service Comply Service Compliance	21 525 86	\neg		_		\neg	\$			0%	,	-	١.	Environ Program Spec III
PTE Projected Caulily	21 176 83	\neg		_		_	(59	_		0%	,	_	L	Environ Program Spec III
Pris Projected	27 898 38	\neg		\neg		_	\$			0%			١.	Engineering Associate I
FYIS Projected FANTS FAN	17.400.90	$\overline{}$		\neg			÷		8	0%			١.	Environ Program Manager I
Pris Projected	19.328.13	\neg		\neg		$\overline{}$	\$		_	0%	-	Т	Ι.	Environ Program Spec III
Fris Projected Fris Projected Fris Water Management Fris Projected Fris Projected Fris Water Management Fris Projected Fris Water Management Fris Projected Frie Management Frie	20 879 84	\neg				_	\$	_	_	0%	-	_	Ι.	Environ Program Manager I
FY15 Projected Fy15	21.525.86			\neg			\$	-	_	0%		-	L.	Environ Program Spec III
PY15 Projected	20.365.20		\$	0%					69	0%		-		Engineering Associate
PY15 Projected	27,725,94	\neg					-		69	0%		Т	Ι.	Engineer II
FY16 Projected Salary and Not Causilly Waterbody Salary and Not Causilly Waterbody Not It wa	20,651.86			0%		$\overline{}$	-	1	65	0%		Т		CITATION FIOGRAM SPECIA
PY16 Projected	24,130.19			0%		_	69	+-	-	0%		7-		Crigineer II
FY16 Projected Camplaine	33,707.33					_	6	-	_	800		_		100
FY16 Projected Cult Water Cult Water Cult Waterbody FY16 Projected Cult C	21,549.45			П		_		1	_	200		\neg		Tech Engineer II / Architect II
FY16 Projected Caulity Water Caulity Waterbody Caulity Waterbody	64,475.24			7		т	3/,122	20%	B €	780		т		Environ Program Manager II
FY15 Projected Salary and	49,652.25			7	,	т	37 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	20%	A	210		т		Environ Program Spec IV
FY16 Frojected	07,083,00			Т		т	28 741		59	21%			-	Environ Program Spec III
FY15 Projected	00.289,07		A 6	200		7	39 256		S	21%		_		Environ Program Spec III
FY16 Projected	70,000,00			200		\neg	41.117	┪	S	21%				Environ Program Spec IV
FY15 Projected	74 028 50			%		т	43,458		\$	21%	5			Environ Program Manager II
FY15 Projected	212 255 48			0%			45,796		69	20%	١.	_	ı	Environ Program Manager II
FY15 Projected	98.602.55		·	0%		_			æ	0%	l	_	ı	<
FY15 Projected	29.340.50		-	0%		_	-		69	25%		_	1	Environ Program Manager I
FY15 Projected	25,673.25			0%		_	-		4	25%		_		Environ Program Manager III
Fy15 Projected	88,491.80			0%	5	_	4		45	%U		_	. _	Carried Program Special
FY15 Projected	62,967.45			0%		_	37,266	29%	69	20%		_	. _	Environ Program Specili
FY48 Projected Company	59,559.01			0%		_	35,249	T	69	20%		_	1	Environ Program Special
FY15 Projected Cauality Water Work Cauality Work Cau	49,788.41					П	29,466	T	41	20%		_	ı	Environ Program Spec III
FY15 Projected Salary and World Water World	44.231.40			_				0%	6	0%		7-	ł	Charles Described by
FY15 Projected Salary and Worf Cuality Worf II: Waterbody Worf Ehenefits Time Salary and Worf Compilance Time Salary and Worf Salary a	32,517.00			_		_	3,251		6	T		_		Analyst/Programmer IV
FY15 Projected Salary and Not Guality Salary and Salary an	27,750.00					$\overline{}$	3,330	Γ	64			_	\cdot	Environ Brogger Space III
FY15 Projected Salary and So Quality Salary and So Quality Salary and So Quality Salary and So Quality Salary and So Sandards Time Salary and So Sandards Time Salary and So Sandards Time Assessment Time Restoration Time Mechanisms Time Mechanisms Time Assessment Time Mechanisms Time Mechanisms Time Massistance Time Mechanisms Time Mechanisms Time Massistance Time Mechanisms Time Mech	22,715.70		59	_		$\overline{}$	4,543		4			7	\mathbf{I}	Data Processing Manager II
FY15 Projected Salary and	25,400.40		5	_		7		T	9 4			_	. .	Environ Program Manager II
FY15 Projected	17,391.04					_	5,434	Ī	, 6	T		\neg	$\ $	Analyst/Programmer IV
FY15 Projected	25,437.31		-			_	1/4	T	9 6	Ť		_	1	Program Coordinator I
FY15 Projected	18,289.21		49	_		\neg	4,812		A G	1			-	Chemist IV
FY15 Projected	29,228.05			5%		1-	4,367	Ī		T		_	Т	Analyst/Drogrammer II
FY15 Projected	41,574.55					_		ľ	9 6	1		\neg		Analyst/Programmer V
FY15 Projected	37,771.17				S	_		0%	9 6	20%		\neg		Environ Program Spec IV
FY15 Projected I: Water Water Water Waterbody Salary and	33,254.36					_		0%	9 6	200		_		Environ Program Spec III
FY15 Projected I: Water	44,203.74				69	-	4	0%	9 4	20%				Environ Program Spec III
FY15 Projected I: Water Water Water Water Water Salary and % of Benefits Time Standards Time Assessment Time Restoration Time Mechanisms Time Assessment Time Mechanisms Time Assistance Time Mechanisms Time Assistance Time Mechanisms Time Assistance Time Mechanisms Time Mechanisms Time Assistance Time Mechanisms Time Assistance Time Mechanisms Time Assistance Time Mechanisms Time Mechanisms Time Assistance Time Mechanisms Time Mechanisms Time Assistance Time Mechanisms Time Mechanis	29,885.35				5	-	69	0%	_	20%		_		Environ Program Manager II
FY15 Projected I: Water Water Waterbody Waterbody Salary and % of Benefits Time Assessment Time Assessment Time Restoration Time Machanisms Time Assistance Time Machanisms Time Assistance Time Machanisms Time Assistance Time Machanisms Time Machanisms Time Assistance Time Machanisms Time Assistance Time Machanisms Time Machanisms Time Machanisms Time Assistance Time Machanisms Time Time Machanisms Time Machanisms Time Machanisms Time Machanisms Time Machanisms Time Machanisms Time	37.568.57				-	-	•	0%	4	0%		_		Environ Program Space
FY15 Projected	36,302,98				\$	$\overline{}$	€ 9	0%	_	0%		_		Environ Program Spec III
FY15 Projected I: Water % of Guality % of Benefits Time Standards Time Assessment Time Restoration Time Mechanisms Time Mechan	30,810.05				5		69	0%	+	20%		-		Environ Program Spec III
FY15 Projected I: Water % of Quality % of Benefits Time Standards Time Assessment Time Restoration Time Mechanisms Time Mechan						_	S	0%	_	20%		_		Environ Program Spec 1
FY15 Projected I: Water % of Salary and % of Benefits Time Standards Time Assessment Time Restoration Time Mechanisms Time Assistance Time II \$ 83,780.00 0% \$ - 0% \$ - 0% \$ - 0% \$ - 0% \$ - 0% \$ - 0% \$ - 35% \$ 28,942.27 35% \$ 121,911.00 0% \$ - 0% \$ - 0% \$ - 0% \$ - 0% \$ - 35% \$ 41,391.07 35%						1	5	0%		20%		-	. .	Environ Program Spec IV
FY15 Projected I: Water % of II: Waterbody Waterbody Workerbody Salary and % of Quality % of II: Waterbody % of and Control % of Assessment Time Restoration Time Mechanisms Time Assistance Time Salary and Sala	41,391.07		\$ 41,391.07	35%		•	65	0%	_	20%		-		Environ Program Spec IV
FY15 Projected I: Water Salary and % of Quality % of II: Waterbody % of and Control % of Compliance Time Restoration Time Mechanisms Time Assistance Time & 83.780.00	28,942.27	35%		8		8		0%	_	20%		-	$\prod_{i=1}^{n}$	Environ Program Spec IV
FY15 Projected I: Water Water Waterbody Salary and % of Quality % of II: Waterbody % of and Control % of Compliance	Total Amount					L	L		_		Calinatus	2	وا	Environ Program Spec II
III: Water Protection IV: Permits		Total % of			Ē				II: Waterbody	% of		% of	Salary and	Job Title
III:			S				Waterbody						FY15 Projected	
						_								

40,388.65	53% \$	7,620.50	10% \$	16,003.05	21% \$	\$ 4,572.30	070	4,372,30	¢ 6/0	7,020.00	10/01	10,200.00	Monthing and Masistant II
66,871.00	41% \$	8,155.00	5% \$	34,251.00	21% \$		2%	0,100.00	0%0	0,100,00	10% 6 6	\$ 76.205.00	1
54,150.10	53% \$	10,217.00	10% \$	21,455.70	21% \$	6,130.2	6%	6,130.20	6%	10,217.00	10% 8 4		Jancel 1
69,336.96	56% \$	12,381.60	10% \$	26,001.36	21% \$	ľ		6,190.80	5% \$	18,5/2.40	10%		
108,086.88	66% \$	16,376.80	10% \$	34,391.28	21% \$	\$ 16,376.80	10%	16,376.80	10% \$	24,565.20	15% \$		am Manager III
34,693,99	47% \$	7,381.70	10% \$	15,501.57	21% \$	\$ 2,214.51	3%	2,214.51	3% \$	7,381.70	10% \$	1	╀
32.563.02	41% \$		0%	16,678.62	21% \$	\$ 3,971.10	5%	3,971.10	5% \$	7,942.20	10% \$	\$ 79,422.00	
40,634,29	34% \$	•	0% \$	25,218.48	21% \$	\$ 3,684.17	3%	3,677.15	3% \$	8,054.48	7% \$	_	ator III
45.025.26	39% \$	4	0%	24,509.10	21% \$	\$ 5,835.50	5%	5,835.50	5% \$	8,845.16	8% \$		
24.771.17	31% \$	7,990.70	10% \$	16,780.47	21% \$	-	0%	•	0% \$		0% \$	\$ 79,907.00	Office Assistant I
21.106.08	36% \$	8,794.20	15% \$	12,311.88	21% \$	-	0%		0% \$		0% \$	58,628.00	Office Assistant I
49 213 56	46% \$	10,698.60	10%	22,467.06	21% \$	\$ 5,349.30	5%	5,349.30	5% \$	5,349.30	5% \$	\$ 106,986.00	Grants Administrator II
31.013.33	31% \$		\$	21,009.03	21% \$		0%		0% \$	10,004.30	10% \$	\$ 100,043.00	Accountant III
67.428.12	51% \$	13,221.20	10% \$	27,764.52	21% \$	\$ 6,610.60	5%	6,610.60	5% \$	13,221.20	10% \$	\$ 132,212.00	Admin Operations Manager I
77,797.09	41% \$	9,487.45	5% \$	39,847.29	21% \$	\$ 9,487.45	5%	9,487.45	5% \$	9,487.45	5%	189,749.00	Division Director
19 234 04	18%		0%	19,234.04	18% \$	59	0%		0% \$		0%	\$ 105,780.00	Environ Program Spec IV
17.971.91	19% \$	•	0% \$	17,971.91	19% \$	÷	0%		0% \$		0%	94,589.00	Environ Program Spec III
20.702.16	18% \$	-	0%	20,702.16	18% \$	49	0%		0% \$		0%	\$ 115,012.00	Engineering Associate I
18 170 27	19% \$	-		18,170.27	19% \$	\$	0%		0% \$		0%	\$ 95,633.00	Environ Program Spec III
27,322,76	19% \$	•	0%	27,322.76	19% \$	4	0%		0% \$		0%	\$ 143,804.00	Engineer II
28.358.26	19% \$	•	0% \$	28,358.26	19% \$	5	0% \$		0% \$		0%	Ι,	Engineer II
20,649.96	19% \$	•	0% \$	20,649.96	19% \$	5	0%	1	0% \$		0%	\$ 108,684.00	Environ Program Spec III
20.563.13	19% \$	•	0% \$	20,563.13	19% \$	59	0% \$	•	0% \$		0%	Ι.	Environ Program Spec III
32,518.50	19% \$	-	0% \$	32,518.50	19% \$	⇔	0%		0% \$		0%	\$ 171,150.00	Ω ==
												l	J

Total Salaries (Salaries and benefits are based on established pay scale by the state of Alaska for the above positions)

Total Fringe Benefits: (57.8% of the base salaries. Includes: Health Insurance, Life Insurance, Annual and Sick Leave, Unemployment Insurance, Workers Comp., and Retirement)

Total Personnel Cost ક 504,023.47 3.3 65 408,667.68 3.5 \$449,534.45 8.9 1,059,509.23 5.6 605,433.85 25.4 3,027,169.00 1,918,358 1,108,811 3,027,169

STATE OF ALASKA

DIVISION OF WATER

State Fiscal Year 2015

Performance Partnership Consolidated Grant 7/1/2014 - 06/30/15

Estimated Travel (based on past history):

Airfare	slopment/Management between Juneau to Anchorage \$600 round trip X 4 trips	2,400
Hotel	\$110/night X 3 nights X 4 trips	1,320
Meals		
Car Rental & Gas	\$50/day X 5 days X 4 trips	1,000
Car Remark Gas	\$50/day X 5 daysX 4 trips	1,000
3 Tring for Brogram David	elopment/Management between Anchorage to Juneau	5,720
Airfare		4 0 0 0
Hotel	\$600 round trip X 3 trips	1,800
Meals	\$110/night X 3 nights X 3 trips	990
Car Rental & Gas	\$50/day X 5 days X 3 trips	750
Car Rental & Gas	\$50/day X 5 daysX 3 trips	750
2 Tring for Deagan Davis	dennest/Management between Fairbooks to June	4,290
Airfare	elopment/Management between Fairbanks to Juneau	4 = 00
Hotel	\$750 round trip X 2 trips	1,500
Meals	\$110/night X 3 nights X 2 trips	660
	\$50/day X 3 Days X 2 trips	300
Car Rental & Gas	\$50/day X 3 Days X 2 trips	300
4 Trian for Bonner Davis	January M. P	2,760
	elopment/Management between Juneau to Fairbanks	
Airfare	\$750 round trip X 4 trips	3,000
Hotel	\$110/night X 3 nights X 4 trips	1,320
Meals	\$50/day X 3 Days X 4 trips	600
Car Rental & Gas	\$50/day X 3 Days X 4 trips	600
4 Talon for Donner Day	to the second se	5,520
	elopment/Management between Fairbanks to Anchorage	1
Airfare	\$325 round trip X 4 trips	1,300
Hotel	\$110/night X 2 nights X 4 trips	880
Meals	\$50/Day X 3 days X 4 trips	600
Car Rental & Gas	\$50/day X 3 days X 4 trips	600
		3,380
	stance between Anchorage & Southwest Alaska communities	
Airfare	\$550 round trip X 4 trips	2,200
Hotel	\$135/night X 3 nights X 4 trips	1,620
Meals	\$50/day X 5 days X 4 trips	1,000
Taxi	\$50/day X 5 days X 4 trips	1,000
		5,820
	stance between Juneau & Southeast Alaska communities	
Airfare	\$500 round trip X 4 trips	2,000
Hotel	\$110/night X 3 nights X 4 trips	1,320
Meals	\$50/day X 5 days X 4 trips	1,000
Taxi	\$50/day X 5 days X 4 trips	1,000
		5,320
	stance between Fairbanks & Northern Alaska communities	
Airfare	\$500 round trip X 4 trips	2,000
Hotel	\$200/night X 2 nights X 4 trips	1,600
Meals	\$50/day X 3 days X 4 trips	600
Taxi	\$54/day X 3 days X 4 trips	647
	-	4,847
4 trips for Technical Assis	stance between Anchorage & Southcentral Alaska communiti	ies
Airfare and/or Mileage	\$200 round trip X 4 trips	800
Hotel	\$150/night X 2 nights X 4 trips	1,200
	\$50/day X 3 days X 4 trips	600
Meais		2,600
Meals		
Meals 5 trips for Conferences an	d/or Training in-state	2,000
	nd/or Training in-state \$550 roundtrip X 5 trips	2,750
5 trips for Conferences an		
5 trips for Conferences an Airfare	\$550 roundtrip X 5 trips	2,750
5 trips for Conferences an Airfare Hotel	\$550 roundtrip X 5 trips \$110/night X 2 nights X 5 trips	2,750 1,100 750
5 trips for Conferences an Airfare Hotel Meals	\$550 roundtrip X 5 trips \$110/night X 2 nights X 5 trips \$50/day X 3 days X 5 trips	2,750 1,100
5 trips for Conferences an Airfare Hotel	\$550 roundtrip X 5 trips \$110/night X 2 nights X 5 trips \$50/day X 3 days X 5 trips ad/or Training out-of-state	2,750 1,100 750 4,600
5 trips for Conferences an Airfare Hotel Meals 4 trips for Conferences an Airfare	\$550 roundtrip X 5 trips \$110/night X 2 nights X 5 trips \$50/day X 3 days X 5 trips ad/or Training out-of-state \$1,467 roundtrip X 4 trips	2,750 1,100 750 4,600 5,868
5 trips for Conferences an Airfare Hotel Meals 4 trips for Conferences an Airfare Hotel	\$550 roundtrip X 5 trips \$110/night X 2 nights X 5 trips \$50/day X 3 days X 5 trips ad/or Training out-of-state \$1,467 roundtrip X 4 trips \$250/night X 3 nights X 4 trips	2,750 1,100 750 4,600 5,868 3,000
5 trips for Conferences an Airfare Hotel Meals 4 trips for Conferences an	\$550 roundtrip X 5 trips \$110/night X 2 nights X 5 trips \$50/day X 3 days X 5 trips ad/or Training out-of-state \$1,467 roundtrip X 4 trips	2,750 1,100 750 4,600 5,868

STATE OF ALASKA

DIVISION OF WATER

State Fiscal Year 2015

Performance Partnership Consolidated Grant 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2015

Estimated Supplies

Supplies include	9:	
------------------	----	--

Total Estimated Supplies	38,604
General office supplies	1,290
Scientific monitoring supplies	9,406
Computer monitors/personal Computers/software/scanners/printers	13,400
Office desks/chairs/fax machines/cubicle pieces and worksurfaces/cameras	14,508

STATE OF ALASKA DIVISION OF WATER

State Fiscal Year 2015

Performance Partnership Consolidated Grant 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2015

Estimated Contractual Costs

Operating Contractual Costs (A)	Total
Cell Phone	1,000
Legal support	35,000
Freight/Express Mail	500
Advertising (B)	4,398
Conference Registration/Tuition Fees/Membership Dues	15,000
Miscellaneous Administrative Contractual Costs	9,000
Printing	7,500
Public Notice - Room Rental	15,000
Building Lease	82,790
Motor Pool	8,000
Minor repairs/maintenance of equipment	1,000
Contracts that have been identified	
Systems Technical Assistance - APDES Support	30,000
APDES Process Analysis Contract	25,000
Analytical Lab Testing of WW Samples	5,000
Analytical Lab Testing of Compliance Samples	5,000
Expert Assistance on Wastewater Permitting & Compliance	54,000
Seafood Industry Technology Workshops (2)	10,000
NPS	70,682
Total Estimated Contractual Costs	378,870

STATE OF ALASKA

DIVISION OF WATER

State Fiscal Year 2015

Performance Partnership Consolidated Grant 7/1/2014 - 6/30/2015

Estimated Contractual/Grant Costs:

Grants & Contracts to be awarded	Total	
ACWA Grants *Subgrantee Expenditures	259,658 170,000	0.60 0.40

Total Estimated Contractual/Grant Costs: 429,658

Note: *Subgrantees expenditures include the match provided by the Alaska Clean Water Actions (ACWA) grantees. These ACWA grants are for projects to restore, protect or conserve water quality, quantity and aquatic habitat on identified waters.

FY 2014 PPG WORKPLAN FOR WATER QUALITY PROGRAMS – ALASKA CWA 106 and 319 Grant Funds

All program activity measures identified are from the National Water Program Guidance: Fiscal Year 2011 (EPA 850-P-11-001). Note that all outcomes will not be achieved during the FY 2014 grant period.

ACWA DATA COLLECTION AND ASSESSMENT	D ASSESSMENT		
Workplan Section 1: Water Quality Standards	Objective: Establish protective standards. Quality data is available	Quality data is available for decision-making.	18. FTE 4.1, \$765,974
Outcome	DEC Tasks/Activities	EPA Tasks/Activities	Measures/Completion Date
1. The State's regulations	A. Adopt WQS revisions through the	Participate early in all WQS	DEC and EPA agree to "early involvement"
and guidelines for the	Triennial Review process. For each WQS	development processes. Provide	procedures that may include:
Water Quality Standards	revision, follow agreed-on "early	technical assistance and timely	
(WQS) are protective of	involvement" procedures, which include	informal review and comment to DEC.	quarterly teleconferences between
designated uses and	developing a work plan for the package	Address and identify potential	DEC and EPA to discuss active WQS
scientifically and legally	identifying schedules and resources	disapproval topics before the revisions	projects.
defensible. In addition,	needed to develop and approve the	are state-adopted. Work constructively	 a collaboratively-developed work plan
they are understandable by	WQS. Work constructively with EPA to	with DEC to facilitate resolution of	for each proposed regulation revision
the general public and	facilitate resolution of issues prior to	issues prior to package submittal.	including schedule of deliverables and
private sector.	package submittal. Conduct tribal	Attend regular, including quarterly,	communication and outreach plan(s);
(Department of	outreach similar to APDES tribal	teleconference calls and meetings with	idoptification of the second o
Environmental	communication protocols. Submit	DEC to review the progress on action	• Identification of resources needed by
Conservation (DEC) –	packages to EPA with appropriate and	plan for EPA, review of state	the WOS at least 00 develop and approve
Sonafrank, Tabor; EPA –	thorough explanation, rationale, and	regulations, discuss standards actions,	nie woo at least 90 days prior to
Chung, Beckwith)	supporting documentation. Participate	and update schedules. Facilitate	public flotice of proposed regulations;
	in early and substantive exchange of	federal agencies' early involvement for	 sharing of pre-public notice draft of
	information with the Services to	ESA/EFH reviews. Facilitate timely and	proposed regulations with EPA at
	facilitate timely resolution of	thorough Tribal consultation. Provide	least 30 days prior to public notice;
	Endangered Species Act/Essential Fish	timely reviews (according to agreed	development of EPA plan and
	regular, including quarterly,	submittal).	milestone schedule for review of state
	teleconferences and meetings with EPA		regulations within 30 days of
	to discuss standards actions and update		Submittal.
	schedules. PAM WQ-4 ¹ .		Review, state consultation and action
			on WQS revisions by EPA within agreed-on time-frames.

¹ EPA Performance Activity Measure.

- 04304 A L . . .

Outcome	DEC Tasks/Activities	EPA Tasks/Activities	Measures/Completion Date
	B. Establish site specific criteria (SSC),	EPA review and take action on SSC or	Requested UAA for waterbody
	(UAAs), and reclassify waterbodies as	Provide timely comments on draft UAA	reclassification and SSC completed to
	necessary for Alaska Pollutant Discharge	for waterbody reclassification and SSC	appropriate. Requested UAA
	Elimination System (APDES) permits or	proposals. Provide access to EPA	reclassifications and SSC acted on timely
	Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDL).	technical expertise for waterbody	and, where possible, within permitting
	Work with EPA as early as possible to	reclassification and SSC development.	and TMDL schedules
	discuss the basis for and analyses and	Conduct timely ESA, EFH, and Tribal	
	documentation that might be needed to	consultation, as necessary.	
	support changes that may be	•	
	appropriate Conduct tribal outreach		
	similar to APDES tribal communication		
	protocols. Submit packages to EPA with		
	appropriate and thorough explanation,		
	rationale, and supporting		
	documentation. Participate in early and		
	substantive exchange of information		
	with the services to facilitate timely		
	3, WQ-4.		
	C. Keep informed of the following WQS	Notify DEC of developments relating to	Current understanding and awareness of
	issues: new EPA fish tissue criterion for		developments on these issues
	recreation waters, ammonia criteria for	regional forums	
	freshwaters, and efforts to revise,	C	
	adopt, and implement human health		
	criteria. DEC will consider developments		
	relating to these issues and will notify		
	EPA of any new activities on this issue it		
	may pursue. PAM WQ-3.		

- 043044 J---

	Objective: Use the Alaska Clean Water Actions to identify high priority waters for	tions to identify high priority waters for	
Workplan Section II: Waterbody Assessment	monitoring and assessment and to track the status of waters threatened by and recovering from pollution. Establish baseline condition and trends for all waters in Alaska.	he status of waters threatened by and ine condition and trends for all waters in	Level of effort funded by PPG: FTE 3.3, \$620,195
Outcome	DEC Tasks/Activities	EPA Tasks/Activities	Measures/Completion Date
1. State and where	A. Identify and document the State's	EPA to consider ACWA priorities when	Ongoing ACWA Datahase and
appropriate Federal	highest priority water quality and	making funding decisions to organizations,	Assessment Database (ADB) are
resources are spent on the	aquatic habitat needs through the	Tribes, and agencies in Alaska. Inform DEC	current.
and waterhodies (DEC –	Alaska Clean Water Actions (ACWA)	of EPA and EPA-funded third party	Ongoing database management and
Sonafrank, Gilder; EPA-	and needs identification process.	provide an opportunity for DEC input EBA	improvements.
Fullagar)	Implement the ACWA database to	to provide to DEC information on its	ACWA high priority waters list
	facilitate waterbody information	relevant grant funded watershed activities	including actions for high priority
	management and reporting by the state	needed for ACWA ranking and action	waters is readily available to the
	resource agencies and facilitate EPA	identification.	public.
	and 305(b). Use ACWA priority ranking	EPA to participate in a timely fashion in	
	and Alaska's Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Strategy to target	for high priority waters.	
	waterbody assessment, prevention or restoration activities undertaken or		
	funded by DEC.		
2. State and federal agency staff, stakeholders, and the	A. Move all water quality data which DEC acquires into EPA's Storage	All EPA generated or funded water quality datasets will be entered into STORET. EPA	Six data sets uploaded to national STORET using AWQMS.
public have accurate data	Retrieval Data Warehouse (STORET), as	will encourage the use of STORET by other	Ç
decisions. All have a solid	to STORET.	data. EPA will provide technical and	
understanding of threats to		management support/information	
DEC and other state		Quality Exchange (WOX) schema to enable	
agencies' actions under		development.	
ACWA to protect and restore water resources.			
(DEC - Gilder, Sonafrank;			
EPA – Hayslip, Fullagar)			

. 043044 ---

								Outcome
Assessment Strategy. Integrate results of these surveys into the EPA National Aquatic Resource Survey's (NARS) and DEC Integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report. Continue to conduct ongoing AKMAP	F. Conduct AKMAP survey projects working with EPA and others for Alaska's aquatic resources consistent with the Alaska's Water Quality Monitoring and	E. Assess high priority waters that are included in Category 3 (data or information is insufficient) of the most recent Integrated Report.	D. Maintain Water Quality Programs web pages.	Revise turbidity listing methodology to describe the collection and analysis of continuous monitoring data.	Public notice petroleum hydrocarbon listing methodology with draft 2014 Integrated Report.	C. Complete the 2014 Alaska Integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report which includes the final petroleum hydrocarbon listing methodology.	compatible system for timely 305(b)/303(d) reporting, including georeferencing the information to facilitate integrated reporting of assessment data. PAM WQ-7	DEC Tasks/Activities
and trends in Alaska's coastal and freshwater resources. EPA will provide funding for as many sites as possible, per year, based on the National allocation (this decision is made by EPA HQ).	EPA will provide a high level of involvement and technical assistance to DEC in establishing capacity within AKMAP to plan,					Review and comment on DEC's work products within 30 days	Provide technical support to DEC as required for using EPA's ADB. Make Alaska's National Hydrography Database data compatible with EPA's Hydrographic Event Management (HEM) tool to allow Alaska to geo-reference its water quality data.	EPA Tasks/Activities
Complete field work and begin sample analysis for the 2014 Beaufort Sea survey. The final project must support Ocean Discharge Criteria Evaluation needs.	Complete planning and coordination for the AKMAP 2015 Arctic Lakes survey.	By June 30, 2015, conduct monitoring and/or assessment of at least one high priority water in Category 3 to evaluate attainment or impairment condition.	Ongoing.		By June 30, 2015, submit revised draft turbidity listing methodology.	December 31, 2014 – Final 2014 Integrated Report, impaired waters list, and final petroleum hydrocarbon	Ongoing maintenance and record updates for ADB, as well as the ACWA database.	Measures/Completion Date

* O 4004 A 1- ...

Outcome	DEC Tasks/Activities	EPA Tasks/Activities	Measures/Completion Date
	outreach to potential partners and interested stakeholders. Propose		Select Alaskan focus area(s) for the next round of AKMAP NARS surveys
	alternative region(s) for the next round		2016-2020.
	of AKMAP NARS, 2016-2020.		Bogin implementation of Ctato
	Alternatives may include selecting an		Monitoring Strategy
	entirely new region, filling in data gaps		lylonitoring strategy.
	from previous studies, enhancing		
	separate DEC or non-DEC surveys, or		
	selecting an area previously surveyed to		
	begin trend analysis, or conduct other		
	monitoring as laid out in the State		
	Monitoring Strategy.		
	G. Conduct AKMAP survey projects	EPA will provide a high level of involvement	Complete the AKMAP 2013 Arctic
	working with EPA and others for Alaska's		Lakes survey. Provide EPA with an
	aquatic resources consistent with the	establishing capacity within AKMAP to plan,	update of the combined results of the
	Alaska's Water Quality Monitoring and	conduct, analyze, and report on the status	2010-2012 Chukchi Sea Survey. Begin
	Assessment Strategy. Integrate results	and trends in Alaska's coastal and	planning and coordination with EPA
	of these surveys into the EPA National	freshwater resources. EPA will provide	on the upcoming 2014-2015 Arctic
	Aquatic Resource Survey's (NARS) and	funding for as many sites as possible, per	Rivers and Estuaries Survey.
	DEC Integrated Water Quality	year, based on the National allocation (this	Coordinate with APDES Program on
	Monitoring and Assessment Report.	decision is made by EPA HQ). The focus in	the 2014 Beaufort Sea survey. The
	Continue to work with EPA to develop	2011 – 2014 is the development of	final project must support Ocean
	appropriate NARS survey indicators	appropriate indicators for Alaska's marine	Discharge Criteria Evaluation needs.
	based on priority stressors effecting	and freshwater aquatic resources within	Drovido EDA with an indate to
	Arctic and sub-Arctic aquatic resources.	Alaska Arctic ecosystems. These indicators	Alaska's Water Organists Manited
	. Continue to conduct ongoing AKMAP	are in addition to the National indicators.	Strategy
	outreach to potential partners and		on diegy.
	interested stakeholders.		

Outcome	DEC Tasks/Activities	EPA Tasks/Activities	Measures/Completion Date
	F. Continue efforts to:	EPA will provide assistance, including	Ongoing. AKMAP Program will
	Integrate the AKMAP Program into other DEC Division program efforts, such as the fish monitoring program in	graphics, etc. and cross-programmatic contacts, when applicable.	develop partnerships with other agencies and groups and will integrate common data needs with
	Environmental Health. Obtain input from stakeholders in		other DEC programs. Web pages current.
	developing the program to meet their needs.		By June 30, 2015 present at two major venues or conferences.
	Seek active partnerships to help with completing long-term coastal and freshwater assessments in Alaska for protection and management of aquatic		
	Keep current DEC web pages to provide assessment information to all interested		
	Participate in at least two major statewide venues or regional conferences on the AKMAP Program.		
	Consider opportunities to present at various state, federal, local, and nonprofit events.		
3. All sampling projects undertaken with state resources produce technically sound data. (DEC - Sonafrank; EPA – Grepo-Grove)	A. Maintain statewide water programs Quality Management Plan (QMP).	EPA will review and approve QMP revisions, if any.	Ongoing. All QMP amendments approved by EPA.
	B. Review and approve project specific quality assurance (QA) project plans. QA officer to provide training to staff. QA officer to perform QA oversight on random QA plans and conduct field audits.	Provide technical assistance as necessary.	Ongoing approval of Quality Assurance Project Plans (QAPPs) for 100% of new ACWA water quality monitoring projects. Two or more field audits completed with follow up

040044 4-11

		Outcome
C. DEC will participate with EPA in performing a field work audit in the upcoming AKMAP lakes. Work with AKMAP – to assure production of high quality data.		DEC Tasks/Activities
Provide technical assistance as necessary.		EPA Tasks/Activities
Ongoing to ensure that the data generated to support the AKMAP Program meets the requirements of the QAPP.	to ensure problems identified by the audits are promptly corrected.	Measures/Completion Date

* 040044 J-4.

Evaluate and report on progress of local ordinance development and other	Or	C. Encourage and assist local governments in establishing enforceable ordinances or	
A brief narrative outlining major NPS successes and challenges in FY14.			
The annual status report on NPS Control Strategy progress for those activities outlined in Appendices A and B of the 2013 Non Point Source Strategy	•	Provide a short narrative that outlines the successes and challenges in the Nonpoint Source Program during state fiscal year 2014.	
A revised NPS Control Strategy that includes a new Appendix with the results from fall 2014 AK Strategic Plan	•	Provide a status report on the Nonpoint Source Water Pollution Control Strategy commitments.	
By December 31, 2014 provide:	Ву	 Updated Appendices A and B outlining Alaska's 3-5 year objectives 	
Revised Appendices A and B.	•	to incorporate or modify:	
By September 30, 2014 provide:	Ву	Pollution Control Strategy (September 2013)	
By, August 30, 2014 provide a revised draft of Appendices A & B.	Provide timely review of draft By documents.	B. Update the Alaska <i>Nonpoint Source Water</i>	
Continue to report on waters meeting the requirements of SP12 and/or WQ10.	EPA will provide assistance, including contractor support to the prepare the documentation and stories about waterbodies.	A. Report on waters that address the requirements of EPA's national SP12 ² and/or WQ 10 measures. (SP-12)	1. Alaska's waters are capable of supporting all designated uses. (DEC – Sonafrank, Gilder; EPA – Carlin, Fullagar, Vakoc)
Measures/Completion Date	EPA Tasks/Activities M	DEC Tasks/Activities	Outcome
Level of effort funded by PPG: FTE 3.5, \$677,598	s to establish priorities to protect and prioritize all water quality work, ration. Ensure protection of water qualitying support to local governments, the and other industries or operations that atted waters using the most appropriate,	Objective: Use the Alaska Clean Water Actions to establish priorities to protect and restore Alaska's water resources. Identify and prioritize all water quality work, specifically stewardship, protection, and restoration. Ensure protection of water quality from non-point sources of pollution by providing support to local governments, the public, the construction and timber industries and other industries or operations that may contribute to NPS pollution. Restore polluted waters using the most appropriate, cost effective, and timely means.	Workplan Section III: Waterbody Protection and Restoration

² EPA Watershed Improvement Measure

SEE S CASCA A LL.

Outcome	DEC Tasks/Activities	EPA Tasks/Activities	Measures/Completion Date
	management plans that prevent nonpoint source pollution. Provide technical assistance to local government in designing and implementing local storm water management programs such as mapping existing storm water discharge locations, collecting water quality data from storm water drains, encouraging Low Impact Development (LID) practices including green infrastructure, and identifying storm drains that are inadequate or non-functional.		implementation efforts done by projects funded by ACWA grants.
	D. Conduct ongoing, periodic field inspections and compile compliance score sheets for timber harvest operations on state, private and municipal lands to assess compliance with the FRPA. Alaska NPS Strategy, task FP-A.		Provide a summary report on the results of inspections, BMP effectiveness projects, etc.
	E. Coordinate and collaborate with National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) on selected watersheds to participate in National Water Quality Initiative. Alaska NPS Strategy, AG-2.		Ongoing. Report on NRCS collaboration activities for Cottonwood Creek.
	F. Implement best management practices (BMP) for high priority waters listed in Alaska's Nonpoint Source Strategy, dated September 2013. Alaska NPS Strategy, Appendix A.		By June 30, 2015, implement at least one BMP for a high priority water.
	G. Continue to investigate historic mining operations, conducting sampling where feasible. Alaska NPS Strategy, MI-2.		By June 30, 2015, conduct monitoring and/or restoration activities for at least one impaired watershed with historic mining operations.
2. Public and stakeholders understand their role in prevention of NPS pollution and work in partnership	A. Develop outreach presentations and displays that identify actions to prevent NPS pollution from recreational activities for use at various public venues, such as the		At least one major venue by June 30, 2015.

	Outcome	DEC Tasks/Activities	EPA Tasks/Activities	Measures/Completion Date
fr st st	with DEC. (DEC - Sonafrank, Hoffman; EPA - Carlin)	Sportsman shows, Home Shows or State Fair. Alaska NPS Strategy, UR-D3.		
gy,		B. Provide technical assistance and NPS outreach materials to local governments, Non-Governmental Organizations, work groups, industry, etc. for ways to address and abate NPS pollution. Alaska NPS Strategy, task NPS-E1.		At least one venue in each region of state (SE, SC, Northern) by June 30, 2015. Participation in National Fish Habitat Partnerships.
st		C. Fund, develop or participate in outreach activities for Alaska Clean Harbors, Green Infrastructure and Fuel Out, Fish On educational campaigns. Alaska NPS Strategy, IR-A1 HM-A and Appendix A		Ongoing. Participate in at least 2 meetings for Alaska Clean Harbors or regional Green Infrastructure workgroup.
st		On the limit of being to		Fund at least two ACWA grants to implement Clean Harbors, Green Infrastructure or Fuel Out, Fish On related projects.
		D. Provide training materials and list of best management practices (BMPs) (or links to other entities providing information) to cities, private sector developers and engineers doing construction activities. Alaska NPS Strategy, task UR-C1.		By June 30, 2015, conduct or fund at least one training event or construction related outreach project.
		E. Support education programs on the proper operation and maintenance of onsite sewage systems. Alaska NPS Strategy, task UR-D1.		By June 30, 2015, conduct or fund at least one outreach activity.

Outcome	DEC Tasks/Activities	EPA Tasks/Activities	Measures/Completion Date
All impaired waters are making progress toward	A. As necessary, and within resource availability, update actions needed for all	Provide DEC with information about any third-party restoration projects	Actions on the highest priority waters are included in the FY15 ACWA grant
meeting water quality standards and designated	ACWA high priority impaired waters based on the most appropriate methods to restore	funded by EPA.	solicitation. Other high priority actions
uses. (DEC – Sonafrank, Gilder; EPA - Carlin)	water quality or water uses (i.e., TMDLs, contaminated site cleanup, debris removal, etc.).		site.
	B. Complete a minimum of two priority TMDLs (WQ-8).	For DEC's TMDL waterbodies, EPA will provide a higher level of	<u>DEC</u> : June 2, 2015 – Submit Final TMDLs for two waterbodies
	Provide EPA with draft TMDLs at least 10 working days prior to the formal public	involvement and technical assistance to DEC for selected	
	comment period. Waters for which TMDLs may be completed in 2014 may include Cottonwood Creek, Matanuska River, Popof Strait. Goldstream, and/or Crooked Creek	decrease agreed upon by both agencies. For TMDLs developed by DEC, EPA will provide input and review on draft TMDLs within 10	approval
	If EPA and DEC mutually decide to assign EPA as a lead to any Alaska (AK) TMDLs, then DEC will provide input and review on draft TMDLs within 10 working days of society.	additional TMDLs. If so, EPA will provide a draft copy to DEC at least 10 working days prior to the formal	
	C. DEC staff are adequately trained on		Number or percent of NPS staff who
	assessment of impaired waterbodies, TMDL and restoration plan development, and implementation through technical training		received technical training between July 1, 2014 and June 30, 2015.
4. Ensure all 319 funding requirements are met and	A. Award and manage grants, reimbursable services agreements, and contracts		Upon request, DEC will provide EPA with
reported including pass			used to support 319 eligible projects and
through grants. Third party partners augment DEC			a brief description of each project.
resources and expand			Upon request, DEC will provide EPA information that shows costs are
restoration, protection,			eligible, reasonable, necessary,
and education on ACWA			including costs for state and local staff.
Sonafrank, Gilder; EPA –			Materials produced by ACWA grant

SEE S DADOA A L- ...

agencies and the general public via
website and GRTS.
B. Enter mandatory data elements into EPA's Grants Reporting and Tracking System (GRTS) including, as available, estimated pollutant load reductions (nitrogen, phosphorous, and sediment) and per 319 guidance, with the exception of elements that rely on geolocations requiring the National Hydrological Unit Code (HUC), as available). Include FTF and contract information EPA will continue to develop the tools required for entry of september 30, 2014. Complete all GRTS which have been developed for other states, however have not yet been completed for Alaska. Complete load reduction information by September 30, 2014. Complete all GRTS which have been developed for other states, however have not yet been completed for Alaska. Include FTF and contract information by September 30, 2014. Complete all GRTS which have been data entry for all 319 funded activities within 90 days from end of SFY. Complete load reduction information by September 30, 2014. Complete all GRTS which have been developed for other states, within 90 days from end of SFY. FIGURE FTF and contract information by September 30, 2014. Complete all GRTS which have been developed for other states, within 90 days from end of SFY. Complete load reduction information by September 30, 2014. Complete all GRTS which have been developed for Alaska.

043044 4---

ACWA STEWARDSHIP			
Workplan Section IV: Permits and Control Mechanisms	Objective: Protect public health and the environment through eff that is based on sound technical and water quality principles and approach.	Objective: Protect public health and the environment through effective state permitting that is based on sound technical and water quality principles and is risk-based in its approach.	Level of effort funded by PPG: FTE 8.9, \$1,620,148
Outcome	DEC Tasks/Activities	EPA Tasks/Activities	Measures/Completion Date
1. The status of all	A. Provide a report of all permit actions	Update EPA website quarterly to include	DEC data management reports listing
wastewater, 404, and storm water permits in the State	and inspections for both mid-year and end-year reporting cycle ³	all current individual and general	permit actions and inspections to be
is current, accurate, and	0 0	T	vear reporting cycles
known. (DEC - Strickland,			year reporting cycles.
Knapp; EPA - Lidgard,			EPA web site updated quarterly to
KenKnight)			include all active permits.
	B. Perform ongoing data cleanup through annual data. Collaborate with EPA on data cleanup efforts, resulting in	Collaborate with DEC on data cleanup efforts, resulting in improved data quality in both EPA and DEC systems.	Data exchange for ongoing data cleanup is occurring routinely between DEC and EPA.
	Improved data quality in both EPA and DEC systems. Update website as permits		DEC assigned staff to cleanup data and
	are issued.		provides ongoing management of the permit tracking database.
			Web pages updated as needed.
2. Construction projects	A. Provide technical assistance to	EPA Headquarters maintains web access	DEC reports on the number of NOIs
and no long-term adverse	Intent (NOIs). Review storm water	Construction General Permit (CGP) for	leceived by DEC.
water quality impacts to	pollution prevention plans (SWPPPs) for	NOIs filed with EPA before transition. As	Report mid-year and end-year reporting
surface and groundwater.	new large projects greater than 5 acres	needed, EPA provides assistance and	Cycle III a comprehensive issued
Permanent facilities' runoff does not cause water	based on a risk assessment. Reissue the MSGP and begin work on issuing the	guidance to DEC regarding implementation of the Construction and	sites authorized under the APDES CGP.
quality impairment. (DEC -	CGP.	ent Effluent	
Rypkema, Strickland; EPA - McCauley)		Guideline.	

ST S DADOA A James

³ Reporting cycles: Mid-year report is due January 31, 2015 (July 1, 2014 - December 31, 2014 period); End-year report is due July 31, 2015 (July 1, 2014 - June 30, 2015 period).

Outcome B. Provide technical assistance to industrial operators for submitting NOIs. Review Multi-Sector General Permit (MSGP) SWPPPs submitted by regulated
(MSGP) SWPPPs submitted by regulated industries based on a risk assessment.
C. Review/approve, under State authority, engineering plans for permanent post-construction storm water management. Prioritize based on size of project, discharge to surface waters, and proximity to surface waters.
D. Waive (screening based upon DEC's waiver criteria), issue, or deny 401 certifications for high priority Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) 404 projects.

040044 4-...

Outcome	DEC Tasks/Activities	EPA Tasks/Activities	Measures/Completion Date
4. Point-source discharge	A. APDES Program to continue to	Solicit DEC input in developing annual	DEC - Ongoing review of processes to
regulatory decision-making	streamline existing processes in order to	list of permit assignments as EPA	identify areas to streamline and
is efficient, consistent	realize efficiencies as well as create new	identifies "priority permits" in Alaska	improve permitting practices. Create
statewide, and targets	standards to ensure the consistent	under the Permitting for Environmental	permitting processes where lacking.
efforts on activities that	implementation of permitting practices.	Results Strategy. Solicit DEC input and	Provide input to EPA on annual priority
pose higher risk to public	Submit timely comments to FPA on	provide DEC the 3-year permit unit plan,	permits list and EPA's 3-year permit unit
health and environment.	proposed priority permits in Alaska.	whenever updated. Publish unit plan	plan, when available.
(DEC = Strickland, ; EPA =	Update Calendar Years (CY) 14 and 15	and annual update.	DEC/EPA – annual update of joint list of
0000	DEC Permit Issuance Plan.		APDES Permits, NPDES permits, and
00.000.000			staff contacts. EPA and DEC review
			DEC's Permit Issuance Plan to discuss
			priorities.
	B. Respond to requests for permit	Coordinate with DEC on inquiries	DEC-Prioritize APDES permit
	application and issue periffic and	received about the need for a	the Pormit Insurance in accordance with
	Permit Issuance Plan and the APDES	notification via email to DEC about	Continuing Planning Process document.
	Continuing Planning Process document.	inquiry and EPA permitting action with	EPA – Prioritize permit issuance
	the need for an NPDES permit.	contact information.	/reissuance on EPA's 3-year permit unit
			plan.
	C. Make online application system Cross	EPA Region 10 engages with EPA	Online application system is CROMERR
	(CROMERR) compliant. Monitor use of	meadquarters on CROMERK to assist with the approval of Alaska's systems	compliant. New permits added to online application system.
	online permitting tools.	under CROMERR.	 # of applications received online
			reported per mid-year and end-
			year reporting cycles.
	D. Meet monthly with EPA NPDES	Meet monthly with DEC APDES permit	Continue to plan and conduct monthly
	permit unit to address permit issues.	units to address permit issues.	calls or meetings with DEC managers,
			EPA Unit Manager, and EPA APDES
			Oversight Manager.

Compliance Assistance			
Outcome	DEC Tasks/Activities	EPA Tasks/Activities	Measures/Completion Date
1. Permitted facilities	A. Review Discharge Monitoring Reports	Review DMRs and promptly follow up on	DEC / EBA - Number of facilities
comply with permits	(DMRs) and promptly follow up on	exceedances of facilities EPA retains authority	not in compliance based on DMR
and do not cause water	exceedances. Routinely enter DMRs into	over. Address non-compliance strategy for	data or other permit required
quality violations. (DEC	Discharge Results and Online Permit System	violation of permit conditions, such as failure	submittals.
 Program Manager, 	(DROPS) and all required information data	to submit reports. Provide to DEC (Compliance	717
Morgan; EPA –	elements (RIDE) into Integrated Compliance	Program Manager) copies of inspections	DEC / EPA: Number of
KenKnight, Lidgard)	Information System (ICIS)-NPDES either	reports and any enforcement actions for	based on noncompliance.
	The state of the s	Compliance Report (QNCR) and annual report	EPA - Timely and accurate
		for EPA and DEC entered data.	generation of QNCR and annual
	B. Take timely and appropriate enforcement	Coordinate enforcement efforts with DFC	Ongoing Timely and appropriate
	action as needed. Coordinate enforcement	Provide opportunities for further compliance	enforcement actions.
	efforts with EPA based on inspections or	cooperative efforts between DEC and EPA,	
	compliance reviews conducted by DEC.	such as job shadowing.	<u>DEC</u> : With end-or-year report,
	Explore opportunities for further cooperative,	Identify areas where EPA will take	sewer overflows (SSOs) and
	יכטוויסוומוזכה פווסונט מפנישפפון מבר מוום בדא.	enforcement actions either by referral from	bypasses including a list of SSO
	Track list of SOPs and guidance to develop and	DEC or EPA direct action.	and bypass events, estimated
	provide updates to EPA on progress during	Provide DEC with a copy of any enforcement	volumes and solutions.
	Provide EDA with a conv of any enforcement	follow-up when issued (e.g. compliance letter,	
	follow-up when issued (e.g. compliance letter.	ממווייים מרויסיו, לממוכים מרויסיו).	
	administrative action, judicial action).		

0.000.4

											Outcome
		The goal for construction sites greater than 5 acres is to inspect 10% of the sites per year and for sites between 1 and 5 acres is to inspect 5% per year.	Industrial Storm Water: The goal for non-construction industrial sites is to inspect at least 10% of the known facilities per year.	consistent with the goals of the 2007 Compliance Monitoring Strategy for Wet Weather Programs.	Shortfalls in meeting Compliance Monitoring Strategy inspection frequency goals. Storm Water Inspections: DEC will inspect facilities	Develop sector strategies for inspections and compliance evaluations that address potential	timely copy of all NPDES inspection reports to EPA and a Form 3560-3.	Conduct wastewater and ambient sampling (where practicable) to verify compliance and	Strategy and inspection schedule submitted to EPA. Coordinate with EPA on NPDES	as a guide, inspect APDES permitted facilities based on the Annual Compliance Monitoring	DEC Tasks/Activities C. Using the state risk-based ranking system
					Strategy inspection frequency goals.	that address potential shortfalls in DEC's ability to meet Compliance Monitoring	Assist DEC in developing DEC sector strategies for inspections and compliance evaluations	applicable to verify compliance and include results in inspection report. Provide inspection	inspection can occur as a training opportunity for building DEC capacity. Conduct	with DEC on NPDES inspection schedule in order to identify facilities where joint	EPA Tasks/Activities Inspect NPDES permitted facilities. Coordinate
EPA: Provide copies of inspection reports and completed	 % facilities inspected where sampling occurred. 	 % of inspected facilities where results are reported to the facility within 30 days or 45 days if sampling occurred, and 	and permit number, etc.,inspection report completion dates,	 # facilities inspected including facility name 	enforcement actions, report mid-year and end-year reporting cycles ⁴ on:	DEC: Unless withheld for	list and DEC's Compliance Monitoring Strategy Work Plan for CY 2015 by November 30	warranted. DEC: Submit sector strategies with the draft APDES inspection	identified by both agencies and multiple or joint inspections are	inspection effort, unless a permitted facility is specifically	Measures/Completion Date DEC / EPA: No duplication of

- --- F F FOCE O

Outcome	DEC Tasks/Activities	EPA Tasks/Activities	Measures/Completion Date
2. State Review	A. State Review Framework (SRF)		DEC: Work with EPA to
Framework and Data	Participate in the completion of review and	Provide DEC with timely information on SRF	implement the SRF
verilication	comment on the draft SRF report. Implement	guidance, training, and process.	actions.
		Provide assistance and feedback to DEC on DEC's implementation of SRF recommendations and corrective actions.	EPA: Complete final SRF Report, including incorporation of DEC's
			review and input on DEC's corrective actions.
(DEC – Program Manager, Bennett,	B. Data Verification	Notify DEC of the timeframe to conduct data verification (typically December through	<u>DEC</u> : Complete annual data verification based on timeframe
Manager, Bennett, Guers; EPA –	Per EPA-OECA protocol, complete the annual review and data verifications of DEC	Pebruary).	designated by EPA.
Kenknight)	compliance and enforcement data in ECHO and correct date, if needed, in ICIS-NPDES.	Perform an annual data metric analyses (DMAs) based on ECHO data following the	EPA: DMAs due based on timeframe designated by EPA-
	C. Non-Major Non-Compliance		DEC: Prepare and submit
11	DEC will submit an annual non-compliance report for their traditional non-major facilities in accordance with time frames provided by		data needed for EPA's state dashboard in Enforcement and
	EPA.		
	DEC will submit an annual non-compliance report for their traditional non-major facilities in accordance with time frames provided by		
2 Canada Building	A Attend annual planning specion	Attend annual planning session	DEC / EPA: Attend Annual
and Program	A. Attend annual planning session	Attend annual planning session Participate in the development of the	Integrated Work Planning
Implementation	 Participate in the development of the agenda 	 Participate in the development of the agenda 	Session by December 31, 2014.
	 DEC will meet annually with EPA-NCU and NPU to discuss priorities, 	 EPA-NCU and NPU will meet annually with DEC to discuss priorities, 	
	performance expectations, updates on issues and activities, inspection	performance expectations, updates on issues and activities, inspection	
	and enforcement targets, and	and emorcement targets, and	

0.001.1-...

				Outcome
 24-hour Hotline Noncompliance spreadsheet Recent capacity planning activities 	 Facility Specific Enforcement Response spreadsheet (details facility name, facility identification number, specific violations based on inspections and file reviews, date of violation(s), DEC's response, and date of DEC response Enforcement Tracking spreadsheet 	B. Meet quarterly or more frequently as needed with EPA Compliance staff to discuss progress on meeting inspection goals, review QNCR / Watch List facilities, updates on the status of DEC's implementation of SRF recommendations and corrective actions, enforcement actions, recent capacity planning and program performance, and job shadowing opportunities. Prior to the meeting, transmit:	opportunities for integrating work between EPA and DEC.	DEC Tasks/Activities
		Meet quarterly or more frequently as needed with DEC Compliance staff to discuss progress on meeting inspection goals, review QNCR / Watch List facilities, updates on the status of DEC's implementation of SRF recommendations and corrective actions, enforcement actions, recent capacity planning and program performance, and job shadowing opportunities.	opportunities for integrating work between DEC and EPA.	EPA Tasks/Activities
		Ongoing.		Measures/Completion Date

Outcome	DEC Tasks/Activities	EPA Tasks/Activities	Measures/Completion Date
2. Alaska citizens are	A. Respond to water quality complaints that	Notify DEC via email of complaints received by	complaints received by Ongoing. Identify number of
"eyes and ears" on	have a high potential to adversely affect	EPA.	water quality complaint-initiated
pollution-causing	public health or environment.		inspections.
activities through			
efficient complaint			
response systems (DEC			
Program Manager;			
EPA - KenKnight).			